

REMARKS

These remarks are set forth in response to the Office Action. As this response has been timely filed within the three-month statutory period, neither an extension of time nor a fee is required. Presently, claims 1 through 10 are pending in the Patent Application. In paragraph 4 of the Office Action, claims 1-2, 5-7 and 10 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent Application Publication No. US 2003/0105884 to Upton et al. in view of United States Patent 6,094,529 to Jeffries et al. and Homer et al., *Instant HTML*, at 88-101 (1997). In paragraph 5 of the Office Action, claims 3-4 and 8-9 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Upton, Jeffries and Homer, and further in view of United States Patent 6,615,226 to Hartman.

Notably, Upton enjoys a priority date only of October 2001 based upon the filing date of a base provisional patent application. Consequently, in response to the foregoing rejections on the art, the Applicants respectfully submit the attached Declaration of Radhika Aggarwal, William H. Krebs, Elizabeth A. Schreiber, and David Styles, the sole inventors of the present invention to which this patent application applies, swearing behind the Upton reference and establishing an invention date which precedes that of Upton. Since the Upton reference is not prior art to the present claimed invention, the rejection of claims 1-10 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) cannot stand. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejected claims, removal of the rejections and allowance of all claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 16, 2004



Steven M. Greenberg
CUSTOMER NUMBER 46320